

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS**

<b>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Plaintiff,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>v.</b>	)	<b>Case No. 07-20099-JWL</b>
	)	
<b>MAYRA GANDARA ESCARCEGA,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Defendant.</b>	)	
	)	

---

**MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

On February 3, 2012, the court denied defendant Mayra Gandara Escarcega’s Motion for Retroactive Application of Sentencing Guidelines on the merits (doc.898).

For the reasons set forth in that Memorandum and Order, Ms. Gandara Escarcega is ineligible for relief pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2). As the facts establish that the defendant is ineligible for relief, the court is without jurisdiction to consider a reduction of sentence under § 3582(c). *United States v. Curtis*, 252 Fed. App’x 886, 887 (10th Cir. 2007) (Where the Amendment did not subsequently lower the defendant’s Guideline range, the district court has no jurisdiction to consider a modification to his sentence under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)). As such, the court’s prior Order is amended *nunc pro tunc* to dismiss the defendant’s Motion for Retroactive Application of Sentencing Guidelines for lack of jurisdiction.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED BY THE COURT** that defendant's Motion for Modification of Retroactive Application of Sentencing Guidelines (doc. 888) is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

**IT IS SO ORDERED** this 25<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2012.

s/ John W. Lungstrum  
John W. Lungstrum  
United States District Judge